Implementation Year 3: Grades 6-8 or K-8 Principal¹

Student Academic Progress

Student Academic Progress Data	Category	Point Value	School Level Data ¹	Point Value	Point Determination	
40 Points (33% of total)	Achievement	8	Achievement Goal(s)	4	4 points: Complete Attainment 3 points: High Attainment 2 points: Moderate Attainment 1 point: Minimal Attainment	
			Percent Passing AIMS & AIMS A ²	2	2 points: ≥84% 1 point: 44%-83% 0 points: <44%	
			ELL Reclassification ²	2	 2 points: Met all criteria below 95% tested 10 or more ELL students ≥23% reclassified FAY students 0 points: Did not meet all criteria above 	
	Growth	24	Growth Goal(s)	8	8 points: Complete Attainment 6 points: High Attainment 4 points: Moderate Attainment 2 points: Minimal Attainment	
			Median Student Growth Percentile ²	4	4 points: ≥59 3 points: 48-58 2 points: 37-47 1 point: 26-36 0 points: <26	
			ELL Performance Level (AZELLA)	4	4 points: ≥60% of ELL student performance levels 3 points: 36%-59% 2 points: 12%-35% 1 point: 1%-11% 0 points: <1%	s showed progress across AZELLA
			Catch Up Median Ratio of Student Growth Target-Reading	4	4 points: Median Ratio ≥1 2 points: 0.4-0.9 0 points: <0.4	Sum of points from both levels divided
			Move Up Median Ratio of Student Growth Target-Reading		4 points: Median Ratio ≥0.6 2 points: 0.4-0.5 0 points: <0.4	by 2 to total up to 4 points
			Catch Up Median Ratio of Student	4	4 points: Median Ratio ≥0.8 2 points: 0.5-0.7	Sum of points from both levels divided by 2 to total up to 4 points

Data Table ID: 2032 (Version 8.0)

			Growth Target-Mathematics		0 points: <0.5	
			Move Up Median Ratio of Student Growth Target-Mathematics		4 points: Median Ratio ≥0.7 2 points: 0.5-0.6 0 points: <0.5	
Col	Career & College Ready	College 8	Percent of Grade 8 students who earned Exceeds on AIMS Mathematics	2	2 points: ≥21% of students earned Exceeds on AIMS Mathematics 1 point: 3%-20% 0 points: <3%	
			AIMS CCR Equivalent Score-Reading	3	3 points: ≥42% of students me Reading 2 points: 27%-41% 1 point: 12%-26% 0 points:<12%	et AIMS CCR Equivalent Score-
			AIMS CCR Equivalent Score- Mathematics	3	3 points: ≥30% of students met AIMS CCR Equivalent Score- Mathematics 2 points: 18%-29% 1 point: 6%-17% 0 points:<6%	

Performance Performance					
Performance	Leadership Standards		Point Value	Functions	
	Standard 1	Shared Vision	15	a. Collaboratively develop/implement mission/goals b. Collect/use data to assess effectiveness c. Create/implement plans to achieve goals d. Promote continued and sustainable improvement e. Monitor, evaluate, revise plans	
	Standard 2	Learning/Instruction	15	a. Culture of collaboration, trust, learning b. Comprehensive, rigorous curriculum c. Personalized, motivating environment for students d. Supervise instruction e. Accountability system/monitor progress f. Develop instructional leadership and staff capacity g. Maximize time for instruction h. Promote use of technology i. Monitor and evaluate instructional program	
60 Points (50% of total)	Standard 3	Management	10	a. Monitor/evaluate the management and operations b. Obtain, allocate, align resources c. Protect welfare and safety of students and staff d. Develop capacity for distributed leadership e. Ensure teacher and organizational time is focused on instruction/learning	
	Standard 4	Collaboration	10	a. Collect data pertinent to the educational environment b. Promote understanding and use of cultural, social and intellectual resources c. Build and sustain positive relationships with families d. Build and sustain positive relationships with community	
	Standard 5	Professionalism	5	a. Ensure system of accountability for every student's success b. Model self-awareness, reflective practice, ethical behavior c. Safeguard the values of democracy, equity and diversity d. Consider moral and legal consequences of decisions e. Promote social justice and student needs	
	Standard 6	Education System	5	a. Advocate for children, families and caregivers b. Act to influence local state and national decisions c. Assess, analyze, anticipate and adapt emerging trends	

Surveys					
Survey	Survey Source	Point Value	Point Determination		
	Student Survey	5	5 points: 79% of student survey mean scores were a 3 or above; 3 points: 55%-78% of student survey mean scores were a 3 or above 2 points: 31%-54% of student survey mean scores were a 3 or above 0 points: <31% of student survey mean scores were a 3 or above		
20 Points	Parent Survey (School level)	7	7 points: ≥78% of the parent survey mean scores were a 3 or above 3 points: 41%-77% of parent survey mean scores were a 3 or above 0 points: <41% of parent survey mean scores were a 3 or above		
(17% of total)	Self-Review	1	1 point: Principal completed self-review 0 points: Principal did not complete self-review		
	Teacher Survey	7	7 points: 53% of teacher survey mean scores were a 4 or above 6 points:44%-52% of teacher survey mean scores were a 4 or above 5 points: 35%-43% of teacher survey mean scores were a 4 or above 4 points: 26%-34% of teacher survey mean scores were a 4 or above 3 points: 17%-25% of teacher survey mean scores were a 4 or above 2 points: 8%-16% of teacher survey mean scores were a 4 or above 0 points: <8% of teacher survey mean scores were a 4 or above		

Summative Score of the Three Components				
Point Value	Point Determination			
120	120-108 points: Highly Effective 107-85 points: Effective 84-60 points: Developing <60 points: Ineffective			

Note: 1. The information being provided in the rating table is part of a principal evaluation system and has not yet been validated. ADE recommends that LEAs do not wholly rely on the information provided in these tables when designating summative principal classifications as part of the evaluation process, without piloting rating system first.

^{2.} Matches A-F Letter Grades criteria.